

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,148	04/11/2001	Yusuke Kimata	P/2850-48	1646
7590 12/01/2006			EXAMINER	
STEVEN I. WEISBURD			GLASS, RUSSELL S	
DICKSTEIN SHAPRIO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP				
1177 AVENUR	OF THE AMERICAS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
41ST FLOOR			3626	
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10036-2714		D. (TE MAIL ED. 10/01/000)	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/833,148	KIMATA, YUSUKE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Russell S. Glass	3626		

	Russell S. Glass	3626	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a living replies: (1) an amend tice of Appeal (with appea	Notice of Appeal. To avoid about the second about the second and the second about the second are second as a compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the datater than SIX MONTHS from (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) V	the mailing date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 3 tension and the corresponding thortened statutory period for than three months after the	g amount of the fee. The appropreply originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search w);	(see NOTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	. •		(DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		T Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		separate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 2-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1 and 19-21.			explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of d sufficient reasons why th	filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> ne affidavit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections un	der appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the clain	ns after entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see next page. 			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	•	
,	Horph The		
	TOCKOU THOMA	S	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Continuation of 11:

- 1. The newly added features of "the user is a patient or person who takes care of welfare of the patient" is a shift in the scope of the claim that requires further search and consideration.
- 2. Applicant argues features that have not been entered as of the present commmunication
- 3. Applicant's other arguments merely rehash issues addressed in Final Rejection filed on 8/9/2006 and incorporated therein. Thus, the finality of the previous Office Action is maintained.